



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/654,115	08/30/2000	Dean A. Klein	500050.01	5616	
27076	7590 12/22/2005		EXAMINER		
DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT			VU, TUAN A		
SUITE 3400	JANUAR DELIANA	WIETVI	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
1420 FIFTH AVENUE			2193		
SEATTLE, WA 98101			DATE MAILED: 12/22/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/654,115	KLEIN, DEAN A.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Tuan A. Vu	2193		

	Examine	Air Oille					
	Tuan A. Vu	2193					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 22 September 2005 FAILS TO PLACE TH	IS APPLICATION IN CONDITION	FOR ALLOWANCE.					
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or				
	following time periods:						
	a) Mean the period for reply expires <u>4</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no						
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b)	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.					
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent ten adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)				
NOTICE OF APPEAL		en 1 141 1 4					
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must I 	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal (of the appeal.				
AMENDMENTS							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO		because				
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in beauting appeal; and/or 		educing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d)☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		jected claims.					
		omnliant Amendment	+/PTOL-324\				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 		, timely filed amendm	nent canceling				
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected in pre 							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	(5)	ammanmt to	2/20/05				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		, ,	•				
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-61</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	•		•				
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•					
 The request for reconsideration has been considered by <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	•	1.1	ince because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	TO DO INC	ERG				
		PRIMARY EX	(MINER				

,

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The arguments about Mattson not teaching cacheability determination in view of Mattson's analysis of branch prediction had been addressed in the Final rejection. Further, Applicant's mention about cache by Mattson being but just memory pages also amount to non-convincing arguments which had been addressed at length in the final Action response to arguments. The arguments about Morrison not teaching anything remotely related to cacheability of information stored in memory amount to inappropriate arguments made via piecemeal analysis of references when the rejection was based on a combination of teaching set forth via prima facie grounds; i.e. the final rejection had set forth the rationale based on which such combination would have rendered the bus interface unit a obvious feature. Since the state of the claims amount to broad interpretation leading to the rejection as set forth in the Final Rejection, and whereas there is no change in the claims as presently submitted, the claims are not in conditions for allowance..

TODD INGBERG PRIMARY EXAMINER